## Case 2:12-cv-00853-LDG -GWF Document 34 Filed 08/02/12 Page 1 of 3

1	John N. Brewer (Bar No.1228) brewerj@gtlaw.com		
2	Tyler R. Andrews (Bar No.9499) andrewst@gtlaw.com		
3	Laraine M. I. Burrell (Bar No. 8771)		
4	burrelll@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP		
5	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North		
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Faccimilar (703) 702-0002		
7	Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 Counsel For Plaintifs		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11			
12	MEDTRAK VNG, INC., a Nevada corporation,	CASE NO. 2:12-CV-853-LDG-GWF	
13	Plaintiff,	PERMANENT INJUNCTION ORDER	
14	v.		
15	ACUNETX, INC., a Nevada corporation, and CHAPIN HUNT, an individual,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	THE COURT, having reviewed and	d considered Plaintiff MedTrak VNG, Inc.	
19	("MedTrak")'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction ("Motion") against Defendants AcuNetx, Inc.		
20	("AcuNetx") and Chapin Hunt ("Hunt"), the exhibits and pleadings filed in connection therewith,		
21	and having issued an Order [Doc. 21] directing Defendants to file an opposition to the same by		
22	July 20, 2012 and all pleadings of record in this matter, and having received affidavits showing		
23	such service to have taken place by June 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6th, 2012, and finding that as of July 25, 2012,		
24	Defendants have not filed any opposition to such Motion, and finding good cause therefor		
25	Accordingly,		
26	THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction		
27	against Defendants is GRANTED;		
28			
İ			

Greenberg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevdat 89169 (702) 792-3773 (702) 792-9002 (fax) 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that based on the Court's previous findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the Temporary Restraining Order (Docket No. 22), Defendants' failure to appear or oppose the instant Motion, and the recent entry of default against Defendants, that the Motion is ripe for consolidation with trial on the merits pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(2), and that the requested injunctive relief shall be PERMANENT AND FINAL.

## THE COURT FURTHER FINDS AS FOLLOWS IN SUPPORT OF THE SAME:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the Defendants and the subject mater of this action.
- 2. MedTrak has succeeded on the merits of its claim that it is the owner of the Copyrights and other Intellectual Property related to the video nystagmographic ("VNG") Software and devices and that Defendants have infringed Plaintiff's rights through wrongful claims of ownership in and use of the VNG Software and the FDA 510(k) Registrations and by continuing to manufacture, market and service VNG devices incorporating such intellectual property after having been terminated as a licensee of such intellectual property by Plaintiff.
- 3. MedTrak will likely suffer irreparable harm if an injunction does not issue enjoining Defendants from claiming ownership in, and use of, the VNG Software, the FDA 510(k) Registrations and the VNG devices, and from manufacturing, marketing or servicing such VNG devices or systems incorporating MedTrak's intellectual property.
- 4. The balance of the harms favors MedTrak, as its entire business, including all good will therein is dependant upon exclusive control over its intellectual property rights. Thus, absent an injunction against the wrongful actions of Defendants, Plaintiff's very existence is threatened. Defendants' on the other hand merely suffer money damages by enforcing Plaintiff's revocation of Defendant AcuNetx's license to manufacture Plaintiff's products.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Defendants, and each of them, their agents, assigns, representatives, affiliates, and any person acting on their behalf are hereby enjoined from:

> Making any representation that they have any ownership in, or rights to, the 1. VNG Software, the VNG FDA 510(k) Registration (FDA 510(k) Number K925111) or any subsequent FDA 510(k) registration based thereon (including, without limitation FDA 510(k) Number 2028047 and 3009391819) and related intellectual property in Plaintiff's VNG device;

## Case 2:12-cv-00853-LDG -GWF Document 34 Filed 08/02/12 Page 3 of 3

1 2 3	2.	Making any representation that MedTrak <u>does not</u> own, or have rights to, the VNG Software, the FDA 510(k) registration (FDA 510(k) Number K925111) or any subsequent FDA 510(k) registration based thereon (e.g. FDA 510(k) 3009391819) and related intellectual property in Plaintiff's VNG device;	
4 5	3.	Engaging in any infringing conduct including without limitation, the manufacture, sale, distribution, marketing or offering of Plaintiff's VNG device or the copying distribution, or use of the VNG Software;	
6 7	4.	Contacting any prior, current, or known potential clients, customers, users, vendors or distributors of the Plaintiff or Plaintiff's VNG devices in relation to the VNG Software or the VNG devices;	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	vendors or distributors of the Plaintiff or Plaintiff's VNG devices in		
27 28			